26-06033

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
Electronic Filing
Submitted: 10/27/2026 12:32:22 PM

Reference: dee6d585-69a5-4660-9e1c-21ac7df14526

Payment Reference: 60-9e1c-21ac7df14526

Filed For: Staff Counsel Division

In accordance with NRS Chapter 719,
this filing has been electronically signed and filed
by: /s Jenna Styles

By electronically filing the document(s),
the filer attests to the authenticity of the electronic signature(s) contained therein.

This filing has been electronically filed and deemed to be signed by an authorized agent or
representative of the signer(s) and
Staff Counsel Division

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA
Docket No. 26-06033

Prepared Direct Testimony of
Sherrie Alvernaz on behalf of the
Regulatory Operations Staff

1. Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.

A. My name is Sherrie Alvernaz. I am a Regulatory Accountant for the Regulatory Operations Staff ("Staff") of the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada ("Commission"). My business address is 9075 West Diablo Drive, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148.

2. Q. Does Attachment SA-1 summarize your professional background?

A. Yes, it does.

3. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide Staff's recommendations concerning the Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("Nevada Power") and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("Sierra" and together with Nevada Power, "NV Energy") for Approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program ("ESAP") Implementation Plan ("ESAP Implementation Plan") for plan period 2027-2029.

4. Q. What are your recommendations to the Commission regarding the issues outlined in Question and Answer ("Q&A") 3?

A. I recommend that the Commission:

1) Modify the requested annual budget to $530,000; and
2) Order NV Energy to include the Community Based Solar Resources ("CBSRs") in the weighted average cost of energy using a zero cost of energy for the resources.

I. Recommendation No. 1: Modify the requested annual budget to $530,000.

5. Q. What is the proposed annual budget for the ESAP?

A. NV Energy requests that the Commission approve an annual budget of $1,057,000.[1]

6. Q. What was NV Energy's previously approved annual budget?

A. The annual budget approved in NV Energy's triennial ESAP application for program years 2024-2026 was $530,000.[2] NV Energy's current request is an increase of 99.4 percent.[3]

7. Q. Please explain why Staff is recommending a lower budget than proposed.

A. Staff has four reasons to recommend decreasing the ESAP budget. First, historically, NV Energy has proposed larger than necessary budgets and underspent the approved budget in every plan year since the inception of the ESAP. Attachment SA-2 summarizes the underutilization of the budget in plan years 2022 through 2025.[4] NV Energy spent between 21 percent to 98 percent of the approved budget during this period and for the 2025 plan year the amount utilized was only 63 percent of the approved budget.

In the NV Energy annual deferred energy account adjustment ("DEAA") applications filed in February of this year, NV Energy witness Sandra Massic explains the cost savings realized in the plan year. "A key component to curtail costs included utilizing internal Company resources for customer-friendly process enhancements, which included, but was not limited to, addition of online application along with a feature to upload supporting documentation that could be submitted directly to the Companies. For customers enrolled in MyAccount, the application encompassed an auto-populate feature with key customer information such as name, address, account number, etc. Also, internal employees and resources were used to supplement vendor

---

1 *See* ESAP Implementation Plan at Appendix C.

2 Docket No. 23-12003 Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program Implementation Plan for plan period 2024-2026.

3 ($1,057,000-$530,000) = $527,000/$530,000 = 99.4%

4 Attachment SA-2 contains all docket numbers et al.

support of application processing, training, customer education outreach, and overall program administration. Since none of the above aforementioned items are considered, incremental costs therefore were not charged to the ESAP regulatory asset."[5] Additionally, NV Energy witness Jared Bilberry states in his testimony that changes made to the ESAP Plan due to legislative action[6] and the transition to "a rolling, ongoing enrollment model for low-income customers to improve accessibility and to ensure continuous program availability" are "intended to streamline program administration, focus resources on the customers with the greatest need, and enhance overall program performance."[7] Therefore, the cost savings that NV Energy touted and demonstrated in the execution of previous years' program years does not seem to conform with the trajectory projected for the proposed budget for plan years 2027-2029. It is my opinion that the efficiencies gained through efficient use of labor and the streamlining effects of AB 458 should reflect a lower overall requested budget given the evolution of the ESAP.

Second, NV Energy has proposed $25,000 for the Web Portal in each of their ESAP Implementation Plans, but has used internal labor to perform this function. In NV Energy's response to Staff DR 17 it is explained that, "…after further review, the Companies determined that the ESAP application portal was developed and continues to be maintained using internal resources whose responsibilities extend beyond the ESAP program. Because these employees do not dedicate their time exclusively to ESAP activities, the associated labor costs are not recoverable through the ESAP regulatory asset. As a result, although a $25,000 Web Portal budget was included in the proposed 2024-2026 ESAP Plan and subsequently carried forward into the proposed 2027-2029 Plan, no separate Web Portal expenses were approved, recorded, or submitted through the ESAP regulatory account during the 2023-2026 period."[8]

---

5 Docket No. 26-02035 Direct Testimony of Sandra Massic at Q&A 14, Volume 3 at page 275.
6 Assembly Bill 458 (2025) ("AB 458").
7 Direct testimony of Jared Bilberry Q&A 7 page 3.
8 *See* Attachment SA-3.

Third, the budget includes $230,000 for call center costs. These costs are a concern as no costs were requested for recovery in any of the most recent annual DEAA filings.[9] It was NV Energy's internal labor that provided this support in the past. Sandra Massic credits "…internal employees and resources were used to supplement vendor support of application processing, training, customer education outreach, and overall program administration. Since none of the above aforementioned items are considered, incremental costs therefore were not charged to the ESAP regulatory asset."[10]

Fourth, the budget includes $240,000 for program administration and includes a request for a Senior Program Manager, a Field Engineer and additional administrative support. No support was provided in the filing to rationalize these internal positions needing to be added for their exclusive work on the Expanded Solar Access Plan. All of these are internal labor costs and are more properly recovered in the Base Tariff General Rates ("BTGR") in a General Rate Case ("GRC"), as they are characteristic of costs recovered in the ordinary course of business.

8. Q. Has the Commission previously approved the inclusion of usual and customary internal labor costs attributable to the ordinary course of business in the ESAP regulatory asset in previous Dockets?

A. No, as was clarified in the Order for NV Energy's initial ESAP Implementation Plan, the Commission did not allow for the inclusion of internal labor. "The Commission agrees with Staff's recommendation that only the incremental costs to NV Energy should be recorded in the ESAP Program Cost regulatory asset. To avoid the potential for double-recovery for any costs that may already be reflected in the current BTGR rates, the Commission finds that only the incremental labor charges should be accrued to the regulatory asset established for the ESAP costs."[11]

---

9 *See* Docket No. 26-02035, Direct testimony of Sandra Massic at Q&A 10 page 4.
10 *See* Docket No. 26-02035, Direct testimony of Sandra Massic at Q&A 14 page 7.
11 *See* Docket No. 20-12003, Modified Final Order issued August 11, 2021, at paragraph 275.

9. Q. Could adding additional internal labor costs to the regulatory asset end up costing customers more?

A. Yes. NV Energy is already receiving special recovery and is avoiding regulatory lag by having program costs, including the recovery of CBSR depreciation and rate of return, recovered annually as opposed to requesting them in a GRC. If additional internal labor costs, that are currently or should be recovered through BTGR are added, then it will ultimately increase costs for all customers, including the low-income customers who this program was created to help.

10. Q. Please provide an example of when the Commission did not allow for the inclusion of internal labor in a regulatory asset?

A. In Docket No. 22-09006,[12] despite approving the establishment of a regulatory asset, the Commission explicitly rejected the inclusion of labor costs in that regulatory asset. Specifically, the Order states:

> Specifically, the Commission: 1) ***rejects the inclusion of any staff or labor costs in the proposed RTO Regulatory Asset [emphasis added]*** as well as the inclusion of any penalties incurred in relation to NV Energy's participation in regional entities (to the extent that NV Energy seeks such recovery); 2) limits the RTO Regulatory Asset to costs directly related to fees required to fund the two day-ahead markets (CAISO and SPP) being developed as incremental steps towards a full RTO; and 3) limits NV Energy to recovering market development costs through 2025 to avoid approving mere speculative spending.[13]

As discussed in prior Q&A's, Staff understands that NV Energy currently has a customer service department that appears to be capable of performing the tasks that ESAP's anticipated expanded duties is expected to encompass and that this is just an expansion of similar activities that NV Energy customer service personnel currently perform for all customers, not only those enrolled in the ESAP.

---

[12] Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of the third amendment to its 2021 Joint Integrated Resource Plan.

[13] Docket No. 22-09006, Order at paragraph 391, issued March 24, 2023.

There is no indication in the ESAP Application that NV Energy's existing customer service department cannot perform the tasks needed to implement and continue operating through the ESAP program. These customer service labor costs are currently being recovered in base rates through the ordinary course of business. The method of cost recovery should not be dependent upon the differentiation of topics discussed on a call from a customer.

Any additional personnel that participate in ESAP duties will more properly have their labor costs recovered through base rates, not through a regulatory asset. This potential "double recovery" issue has been an ongoing concern for Staff when evaluating NV Energy's docket filings.

11. Q. Did Staff have any other specific areas for concern with the proposed budget breakdown?

A. Yes. The proposed budget includes $210,000 for application processing. The ESAP Implementation Plan includes an estimated cost for 20,250 applications that may need to be processed.[14] This would be a huge increase from the 8,790 that were processed in 2025.[15] Given that current participants only have to recertify their eligibility through what should be a much briefer process to remain enrolled in the program, I would expect a lower cost for recertification. There is only one type of fee included in the budget for all participants as opposed to only new applicants. It is unclear why the costs would remain the same for a brand-new application as opposed to a recertification. We will review the costs in a future Annual Deferred Energy Accounting Adjustment filing.

12. Q. How did Staff arrive at the $530,000 annual budget recommendation?

A. Staff looked back at NV Energy's budget utilization and it appears that the Program Marketing & Outreach as well as the Application Processing categories are set at an

[14] *See* ESAP Implementation Plan at Appendix C.
[15] *See* Docket No. 26-02035, Direct testimony of Sandra Massic at Q&A 8 page 3.

appropriate level. The amount requested for these two categories is $530,000, virtually identical to the budgeted amounts approved in previous ESAP applications.[16]

13. Q. What is your recommendation with regard to the proposed annual budget?

A. I recommend that the Commission modify the annual budget amount to $530,000 and approve the proposed budget for the Program Marketing & Outreach and Application Processing categories in order to support the execution of the ESAP during the plan years 2027-2029.

II. Recommendation No. 2: Order NV Energy to include the CBSRs in the weighted average cost of energy using a zero cost of energy for the resources.

14. Q. How is the weighted average cost of energy currently calculated?

A. The weighted average cost of energy is submitted with each quarterly DEAA/BTER filing and is calculated using the weighted average cost per kWh for the six utility scale resources. This cost is then used to calculate the expanded solar energy rate ("ESER").

15. Q. Did NV Energy discuss the inclusion of CBSRs in the ESER calculation in the Application?

A. Yes. The Application states "…CBSRs do not have purchased-power costs associated with them and, therefore, cannot be readily incorporated into the weighted-average purchased-power based ESER calculation."[17] This excerpt has an accompanying footnote that reads, in part, "The Commission further clarified in Docket No. 22-03004 that deviation from Section 15.1 was in the public interest to the extent necessary to exclude ESAP resources from the calculation. Order, August 30, 2022, Docket No. 22-03004 at paragraph 59. While this docket related to the approval of the cost recovery for the 2021 ESAP Program Plan, the Commission also considered the 30 percent weighted average and determined that Section 15(1) of the ESAP

[16] ESAP Implementation Plan p. 11.
[17] Application at p. 7 lines 14-16

Regulations can be interpreted as requiring a rate for CBSRs only be included in the 30 percent weighted average if there are actual fuel or energy costs associated with any CBSRs."

The beginning of Paragraph 59 of the Order reads: "As recommended by Staff, the Commission denies NV Energy's request to include the Mojave CBSR in the ESER calculation, denies the request to increase the ESER from $0.00787 to $0.00788 for NPC, and instead grants NV Energy's alternative ESER calculation to base the 30 percent ESER component entirely on utility-based solar resources that are recovered as purchased power costs…" Staff witness Charles Whitman argued against the inclusion of the Mojave CBSR in the calculation because NV Energy was utilizing a proxy purchased power price of $170.30/MWh.[18] The use of a proxy price, especially one this high, when the average rate per MWh for the utility-scale resources is currently $26.23/MWh, was inappropriate and inclusion of such a high proxy price would have caused an increase in the ESER rates of the customers.[19] Mr. Whitman clarifies his recommendation by concluding that "If the Commission orders [NV Energy] to include the CBSR in the calculation of the ESER at an appropriate energy cost rate of $0.00000 per kWh, then the 30 percent portion of the ESER could be reduced since there would be more capacity relative to the same total cost."[20]

16. Q. What change to this methodology is Staff recommending?

A. Staff is recommending that the capacity for the CBSRs is included in this calculation with a zero-dollar energy cost. Since CBSR-related expenditures are already recovered through the ESAP regulatory asset, NV Energy is recovering the costs for the CBSRs in the Expanded Solar Program Costs ("ESPC") rates. All CBSRs that are currently in operation should be included in the calculation for their service area and any additional CBSRs that are built should be added to the calculation in the quarterly

---

[18] Docket No. 22-03004 Testimony of Charles Whitman at Q&A 18.
[19] See Attachment SA-3, NV Energy Response to Staff DR 17.
[20] Docket No. 22-03004 Testimony of Charles Whitman at Q&A 18.

DEAA/BTER filing following the in-service date. This will allow low-income customers to benefit from the energy savings related to the construction of additional CBSRs.

17. Q. Did Staff ask NV Energy to recalculate the weighted average cost of energy including the CBSRs?

A. Yes. The calculation was provided in response to Staff data request ("DR") 4 and included all CBSRs currently in service as well as those that are currently being developed.[21]

18. Q. Did the inclusion of the CBSRs change the weighted average cost of energy?

A. Yes. The inclusion of all of the currently operating and proposed CBSRs had a small effect on the rate and could lower the rate for the low-income customers who participate in the ESAP.

Historically, the CBSRs have been limited to a capacity less than 1 MW, but as a result of AB 458 the CBSR capacity limit has increased to 5 MW and allowed for the inclusion of a 5 MW battery energy storage system. This increase in capacity could show additional benefits with any added CBSR in the future, especially when we consider that multiple resources could be constructed with the higher 5 MW limit.[22] All additional resources will have the opportunity to lower the weighted average cost of energy which will reduce the ESER paid by the low-income participants.

19. Q. Does Staff have any concerns regarding the costs of constructing the new CBSRs?

A. Yes. Staff is concerned that the current paradigm does not allow the realization of additional benefits for low-income customers, but would require all customers, including low-income, to pay additional costs due to the construction of these resources. Unless the Commission accepts this modification, there is no additional rate

[21] See Attachment SA-4, NV Energy Response to Staff DR 4.
[22] AB 458, 83rd Leg. (Nevada 2025).

benefit to ESAP customers but there will be additional costs that customers will be obligated to pay.

20. Q. What is your recommendation with regard to including the CBSRs in the weighted average cost of energy using a zero cost of energy for the resources?

A. I recommend that the Commission order NV Energy to include all current and future CBSRs in the weighted average cost of energy using a zero cost of energy for the resources to be used in the calculation of the ESER.

21. Q. Please summarize your recommendations.

A. I recommend that the Commission:

1) Modify the requested annual budget to $530,000; and

2) Order NV Energy to include the CBSRs in the weighted average cost of energy using a zero cost of energy for these resources.

22. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

SHERRIE L. ALVERNAZ

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Education

Bachelor of Business Administration, concentration in accounting, s*umma cum laude*

University of New Mexico, Anderson School of Management

Experience

December 2023 to present

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada

Regulatory Accountant

- Performs audits and reviews of public utilities, prepares expert testimony, exhibits, and reports on behalf of Staff to appear before the Commission.
- Analyzes public utility general rate case filings, integrated resource plans, and deferred energy adjustment applications.
- Reviews and analyzes mobile home park, water/wastewater company, and telecommunication company annual reports and applications.

January 2016 to November 2023

Golden Entertainment, Inc.

Regional Operations Manager

- Responsible for the oversight and performance of ten local taverns.
- Review and analysis of monthly financial reports, forecasting, overall budgets and financial trends.
- Continued to perform in person service training for all incoming team members.
- Maintained a Key Employee license issued by the Nevada Gaming Commission that allowed me to operate both restricted and non-restricted gaming locations.

Corporate Trainer

- Responsible for the creation of company training programs including training manuals and presentation materials for all tavern service team members.
- Conducted in person training classes for all incoming team members.

Regulatory Training

Center for Public Utilities New Mexico State University

"The Basics" Practical Regulatory Training

Docket No. 26-06033					
Attachment SA-2					
Plan Year	Budget Requested [1][2]	Budget Approved [3][4]	Budget Spent [5][6][7][8]	Percent of Requested Budget Utilized	Percent of Approved Budget Utilized
2022	$1,195,000	$1,195,000	$334,143	28%	28%
2023	$1,195,000	$1,195,000	$250,438	21%	21%
2024	$1,195,000	$530,000	$519,138	43%	98%
2025	$1,195,000	$530,000	$335,306	28%	63%

[1] Docket No. 20-12003 Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program Implementation Plan. Prayer for Relief at 3.

[2] Docket No. 23-12003 Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program Implementation Plan for plan period 2024-2026. Prayer for Relief at 3.

[3] Docket No. 20-12003 Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program Implementation Plan. Modified Final Order dated August 11, 2021 at para. 273.

[4] Docket No. 23-12003 Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Joint Expanded Solar Access Program Implementation Plan for plan period 2024-2026. Order dated May 21, 2024 p. 3.

[5] Docket No. 23-03005 Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of fuel and purchased power expenses, to reset the Temporary Renewable Energy Development charge, reset all components of the Renewable Energy Program Rate, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates, reset the Energy Efficiency Program Amortization Rate, reset the Energy Efficiency Implementation Amortization Rate, reset the Expanded Solar Program Costs Rate, and refund the total amount of Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rate revenue received in 2022, including carrying charges. Volume 4 p. 82.

[6] Docket No. 24-03003 Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of fuel and purchased power expenses, to reset the Temporary Renewable Energy Development charge, reset all components of the Renewable Energy Program Rate, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates, reset the Energy Efficiency Program Amortization Rate, reset the Energy Efficiency Implementation Amortization Rate, and reset the Expanded Solar Program Costs Rate. Volume 3 p. 363.

[7] Docket No. 25-02033 Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of fuel and purchased power expenses, to reset the Temporary Renewable Energy Development charge, reset all components of the Renewable Energy Program Rate, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates, reset the Energy Efficiency Program Amortization Rate, reset the Energy Efficiency Implementation Amortization Rate, reset the Expanded Solar Program Costs Rate, and refund the total amount of Energy Efficiency Implementation Rate revenue received in 2024, including carrying charges. Volume 3 p. 245.

[8] Docket No. 26-02035 Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of fuel and purchased power expenses, to reset the Temporary Renewable Energy Development charge, reset all components of the Renewable Energy Program Rate, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates, reset the Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates, reset the Energy Efficiency Program Amortization Rate, reset the Energy Efficiency Implementation Amortization Rate and reset the Expanded Solar Program Costs Rate. Volume 3 p. 273.

NV Energy

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

DOCKET NO:	26-06033	**REQUEST DATE:**	10-07-2026
REQUEST NO:	Staff 17	**KEYWORD:**	Application Processing Cost Change
REQUESTER:	Lucban	**RESPONDER:**	Bilberry, Jared (NV Energy)

REQUEST:

Reference: Appendix C Proposed Annual Budget Breakdown

Question: Appendix C outlines several cost components that comprise the proposed annual budget breakdown. Please answer the following:

1. For "Application Processing" costs, please explain how and why the costs have changed as compared to previous ESAP implementations. As part of this response, provide any relevant cost documents that would support the changes in costs.

Please contact Percy Lucban with any clarification questions pertaining to the above request.

RESPONSE CONFIDENTIAL (yes or no): No.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS: None.

RESPONSE:

The proposed 2027-2029 ESAP Plan includes a Web Portal budget of $25,000 annually, which is the same amount that was included in the proposed 2024-2026 ESAP Implementation Plan. The amount remained unchanged because the anticipated scope of website and application portal support was expected to remain generally consistent between plan periods.

For historical context, the Companies developed the ESAP online application portal in 2022 to support electronic enrollment beginning with the 2023 Program Year. The application allows customers to submit enrollment forms electronically, upload supporting documentation, and, for customers enrolled in MyAccount, automatically populate certain customer information to

improve the customer experience and streamline application processing.

However, after further review, the Companies determined that the ESAP application portal was developed and continues to be maintained using internal resources whose responsibilities extend beyond the ESAP program. Because these employees do not dedicate their time exclusively to ESAP activities, the associated labor costs are not recoverable through the ESAP regulatory asset. As a result, although a $25,000 Web Portal budget was included in the proposed 2024-2026 ESAP Plan and subsequently carried forward into the proposed 2027-2029 Plan, no separate Web Portal expenses were approved, recorded, or submitted through the ESAP regulatory account during the 2023-2026 period.

Accordingly, the Companies do not have third-party vendor invoices or separately tracked ESAP regulatory asset expenditures associated with the Web Portal for the years requested. The Companies are currently evaluating whether a separate Web Portal budget remains necessary in light of the historical use of internal resources and the associated recoverability considerations.

NV Energy

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

DOCKET NO:	26-06033	**REQUEST DATE:**	08-12-2026
REQUEST NO:	Staff 04	**KEYWORD:**	CBSR Utility Scale Resources & Weighted Average Cost
REQUESTER:	Alvernaz	**RESPONDER:**	Bilberry, Jared (NV Energy)

REQUEST:

Reference: ESER Calculation

Question: Please provide the weighted average cost of CBSRs and Utility Scale resources if the CBSRs were included with a $0 energy cost.

RESPONSE CONFIDENTIAL (yes or no): No.

ATTACHMENT CONFIDENTIAL (yes or no): No.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS: One.

RESPONSE:

See attached.

26-06033
NV Energy Attachment in response to Staff DR 4

CBS Resource - Average Weighted by 2022 Capacity							
Renewable Resource	Contract Capacity [MW]	Rate [$/MWh]	Weighted Value	Percentage	Cost per MWh	Weighted Value	Rate [$/kWh]
Dodge Flat	200	$26.51	5,302	6.1%	$1.61	0.02651	$0.00161
Battle Mountain	101	$26.50	2,677	3.1%	$0.81	0.02650	$0.00081
Fish Springs Ranch	100	$29.96	2,996	3.4%	$1.03	0.02996	$0.00103
Eagle Shadow Mountain	300	$23.76	7,128	8.1%	$1.94	0.02376	$0.00194
Copper Mountain 5 (LCOE w/o NU	250	$26.63	6,658	7.6%	$2.03	0.02663	$0.00203
Techren V	50	$29.89	1,495	1.7%	$0.51	0.02989	$0.00051
Total Utility-Scale Resource	**1,001**	**$26.23**	**26,255**	**30.0%**	**$7.87**	**0.02623**	**$0.00787**
Moana Springs	0.3477	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Freedom Park	0.4590	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Mojave High School	0.3825	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Lorenzi Park	0.3859	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Carson City Public Works	0.2627	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Washoe County Senior Center	0.3902	$0.00	0	0.0%	$0.00	0.00000	$0.00000
Total CBS Resource	**2.228**	**$0.00**	**0**	**0.0%**	**$0.00**	**0.00000**	**$0.00000**
Grand Total Utility-CBS Resource	**1,003**	**$26.17**	**26,255**	**30.0%**	**$7.85**	**0.02617**	**$0.00785**

Resource	kWdc NamePlate Capactiy	Conversion factor	MWac capacity
Moana Springs	409	85%	0.348
Freedom Park	540	85%	0.459
Mojave High School	450	85%	0.383
Lorenzi Park	454	85%	0.386
Carson City Public Works	309	85%	0.263
Washoe County Senior Center	459	85%	0.390

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to the requirements of NRS 53.045 and NAC 703.710, Sherrie Alvernaz, states that she is the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony and/or exhibits; that such testimony and/or exhibits were prepared by or under the direction of said person; that the answers and/or information appearing therein are true to the best of her knowledge and belief; and that if asked the questions appearing therein, her answers thereto would, under oath, be the same.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 10/22/2026 */s/ Sherrie Alvernaz*

SHERRIE ALVERNAZ